COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 I

Document No. 4

Kevin G. Mierzwa Artz & Artz PLC Suite 250 28333 Telegraph Road Southfield MI 48034

COPY MAILED

MAR 1 3 2003

In re Application of Haghooie, et al. Application No. 10/037,250 Filed: January 7, 2002 Attorney Docket No. 201-0662 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.10 filed, March 4, 2003.

The petition is dismissed.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition" under 37 CFR 1.10."

The instant petition was filed to correct what petitioner believed was an incorrect filing date accorded the above-cited application. Petitioner maintains that the correct filing date for the application should be November 9, 2001, not the currently accorded date of January 7, 2002. In support thereof, petitioner filed a copy of the application transmittal showing a certificate of mailing dated November 9, 2001.

Section 1.10(a) of the Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations indicates that correspondence received by the Patent and Trademark Office that was delivered by Express Mail will be considered filed in the Office on the date of deposit with the United States Postal Service. Where there is a discrepancy between the date of deposit as shown on the Express Mail label and the filing date accorded the correspondence by the Office, 37 CFR 1.10(c) provides that an applicant may petition to have the Commissioner accord the correspondence a filing date as of the "date in" on Express Mail label. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.10(c), a successful petition must:

- (1)... be filed promptly after the person becomes aware that the Office has accorded or will accord a filing date other than the USPS deposit date;
- (2) [provide] the number on the Express Mail mailing label was placed on a paper(s) or fee(s) that constitute the correspondence prior to the original mailing by Express Mail; and
- (3) . . . include a true copy of the Express Mail mailing label showing the date-in and of any other official notation by the USPS relied upon to show the date of deposit.

The instant petition does not satisfy the requirements of items (1) and (2) above.

Relative to item (1), petitioner did not indicate when petitioner discovered that the filing date accorded was allegedly incorrect. As it appears that an extended time has passes since the according of the filing date and the filing of the instant petition, petitioner must provide an explanation for the delay in filing the instant petition.

Relative to item (2), petitioner has not provided sufficient proof that Express Mail package EL764776666 was deposited with the United States Postal Service on November 9, 2001. The certificate of mailing cannot be used to establish a filing date for an application. See 37 CFR 1.8(a)(i)(A). Further, a copy of the Express Mail label showing the "date-in" was not provided, nor any other official notation form the United States Postal Service indicating that the subject package was deposited on November 9, 2001. With any renewed petition filed, petitioner must provide satisfactory evidence that the Express Mail package was either deposited or picked up on November 9, 2001.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, D.C. 20231

By facsimile:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0010.

Kenya A. McLaughlin

Venya a. Vefayblin

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions